PROJECT NAME:	Klondike Group Minerals Exploration	DATE:	12/11/2017
		•	
PROJECT LOCATION:	Nez Perce – Clearwater National Forest, North Fork F	Ranger Distric	et

The following tables display those endangered, threatened, proposed, candidate, sensitive, and management indicator species that are known to (or may) occur on the Clearwater and Nez Perce National Forest.

## WILDLIFE

## **Threatened, Endangered and Proposed Species**

Species	Suitable habitat present in project area?	Effect on habitat?	Represents significant effect?	Comments
Threatened and Endangered Species				
Canada lynx (T)	N	N	N	No Effect
Proposed Species				
North American Wolverine <sup>1</sup>	N	N	N/A	Determination - No Jeopardy
<sup>1</sup> Also a Forest Sensitive Species				

## **Sensitive Species**

Species	Suitable habitat present in project area?	Effect on habitat?	Represents significant effect?	Comments
North American Wolverine		See T	hreatened, Endangere	d, and Proposed Species Table above.
American Peregrine Falcon (NP)	N	N	N/A	
Bald eagle <sup>2</sup>	N	N	N/A	
Black-backed Woodpecker	N	N	N/A	

Species	Suitable habitat present in project area?	Effect on habitat?	Represents significant effect?	Comments
Black Swift (NP)	N	N	N/A	
Common Loon (NP)	N	N	N/A	
Flammulated Owl	Y	Y	N	The project predominantly avoids flammulated owl habitat and represents a negligible impact that would not significantly reduce flammulated owl habitat.
Harlequin Duck	N	N	N/A	
Long-billed Curlew (NP)	N	N	N/A	
Mountain Quail (NP)	N	N	N/A	
Pygmy Nuthatch	N	N	N/A	
White-Headed Woodpecker (NP)	N	N	N/A	
Bighorn Sheep <sup>2</sup> (NP)	N	N	N/A	
Fisher <sup>2</sup>	Y	Y	N	The scale of the project represents a negligible impact on the amount and connectivity of mature forest habitat when compared to the amount of currently existing in the project area and would not substantially increase the amount of open areas within the subwatershed.
Fringed Myotis	Y	Y	N	The scale of the project represents a negligible impact on fringed myotis habitat (snags) when compared with the amount of habitat currently existing in the area.
Gray Wolf <sup>2</sup>	Y	Y	N	There are no known den or rendezvous sites. Wolves are highly adaptable to various levels of habitat change and disturbance.
Long-eared Myotis	Y	Y	N	The scale of the project represents a negligible impact on long-
Long-legged Myotis	Y	Y	N	eared and long-legged myotis habitat (snags) when compared with the amount of habitat currently existing in the vicinity of the project area.
Townsend's Big-eared Bat	N	N	N/A	
Coeur d'Alene salamander	N	N	N/A	
Ringneck snake	N	N	N./A	

Species	Suitable habitat present in project area?	Effect on habitat?	Represents significant effect?	Comments	
Western toad	Y	N	N/A	There are areas of wetlands along the route of the proposed activity. Toads do venture into upland areas post-breeding and will use a variety of sites providing daytime refugia (coarse woody debris, rodent burrows, etc.) to conserve body moisture. Activities will be conducted outside of potential breeding habitat (wetlands and riparian areas) and therefore will not represent a significant effect.	
<sup>2</sup> Also a Management Indicator Species					

Species	Suitable habitat present in project area?	Effect on habitat?	Represents significant effect?	Comments
Project involves road construction,	reconstruction, tempor	ary roads, an	d/or haul routes?	Yes
Is the area in a travel plan closure area? Yearlong or seasonal? Hunting season restrictions?		N	N	
If YES - Will project impact winter range?		N	N	
If YES – Will the project impact critical elk summer range or cause elk summer habitat effectiveness to fall below FP standards?		N	N	
If YES – Will the project impact elk security?		N	N	
Project involves vegetation/fuels treatments?				No
If YES – Does the area met FP standards for elk habitat effectiveness?		N/A	N/A	

NP = Management Indicator Species on the Nez Perce portion of the Forest only. All others are Sensitive Species Forestwide. CLW = MIS on the Clearwater portion of the Forest only. All others are MIS Forestwide

## Other

Project involves vegetation/fuels treatments?			No
If YES - Will post-treatment stands meet R1 down woody debris requirements?  N/A  N/A			
If YES - Are activities proposed in old growth or replacement old growth?	IN/A	N/A	

CON	M	IFN	·2T	None.
CON	/I I V		1.7.	INOHE.

WILDLIFE BIOLOGIST: /s/ James Lutes	DATE: 12/11/2017	
-------------------------------------	------------------	--